Knox County Chancery Court 400 Main Avenue, Suite 123-125 City-County Building Knoxville, Tennessee 37902-2423 Phone: 865-215-3172

Fax: 865-215-2920



• Comment	s: 198242-1		nganisi kantantan antana kantan ayan si ji jiya antangingish banasigajishi k	170° 48° 190° 48° 48° 48° 48° 48° 48° 48° 48° 48° 48	
□ Urgent	□For Review	☐ Please Comment	□ Please Repl	y 🗆 Please Recycle	
PAGES INCLU	DING COVER: 7				
PHONE:		DA'	TE: 7-28-22		
FAX: 615-866-6	3927				

TO: Heather (E	Burrow Lee)	FRO	DM: Chancery R	Chancery Records	

ENTERED

IN THE CHANCERY COURT FO	R KNOX COUNTY, TENNESSEE JUL 2 7 2022
SARAH L. HINDENBURG,	HOWARD G. HOGAN
Plaintiff,	
v .	DOCKET NO. 198242-1
KNOXVILLE HARLEY-DAVIDSON, INC.) and KNOXVILLE HARLEY-DAVIDSON) WEST, LLC,	12 PERSON JURY DEMANDED
Defendants.	
	R-ENTRY OF JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO CORRECT A CLERICAL ERROR + be heard for a jury trial on February 14, 15,
16, 17, and 18, 2022. A jury of 14 lawful cit	izens of Knox County Tennessee who were
duly selected according to the law and swor	n to try the issues between the parties heard
this case. Two jurors were dismissed by rand	lom ballot at the end of the trial leaving a jury
of 12 to retire to consider and make a decisi	on on the case.
After due deliberations the jury returne	ed to the courtroom and announced, through
the foreperson, that they had a unanimous v	erdict in favor of the plaintiff as follows:
preponderance of evidence that	ff has carried her burden of proof by a at Defendant Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc. West, LLC were the single employer and/or f.
Yes X	No
If you answer yes, please proceed to No. 11.	ed to question No. 2. If you answer No, please
preponderance of the evidence	ff has carried her burden of proof by a se on all the elements of her claim for sex uid pro quo sexual harassment against the
Yes X	No

Please proceed to question No. 3.

3.	preponderance of the evidence	has carried her burden of proof by a on all the elements of her claim for sex kually hostile work environment against the
	Yes X	No
		oth Questions No. 2 and 3, then please a name and 3, answered No to both questions 2 and 3,
4.	Do you find by a prepondera constructively discharged from h	ince of the evidence that Plaintiff was er employment.
	Yes X	No
	If you answered Yes, then proce the proceed to Question No. 5.	ed to question No. 7. If you answered No.
5 .		of the evidence that Defendants exercised correct promptly any sexually harassing
	Yes	No X
	If you answered No, then procee then proceed to questions No. 6.	d to question No. 7. If you answered Yes
3.	unreasonably failed to take adv	of the evidence that the Plaintiff employee rantage of any preventative or corrective uployer or that the employee unreasonably
	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	If you answered No, then proceed on both Questions No. 5 and 6, t	ed to Question No. 7. If you answered yes hen proceed to Question No. 8.

7. If you answered "Yes" to one or both questions No. 2 or 3 on the claims for sex discrimination in the form of quid pro quo sexual harassment or sex discrimination in the form of a sexually hostile work environment against the Defendants, please state the amount, if any, of damages for these claims as follows:

	Back pay: \$ <u>21,132.00</u> Compensatory Damages: \$ <u>100,000.00</u>
	Please proceed to question No. 8.
8.	Do you find that the Plaintiff has carried her burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence on all the elements of her claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress against Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc.
	YesX No
	If you answered Yes, then Proceed to question No. 9. If you answered No then turn in your verdict form to the Court.
9.	If you answered "Yes" to question No. 8 on the claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress against Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc., state the amount, if any, of damages for this claim:
	Economic Damages: \$_100,000.00 Noneconomic Damages: \$_500,000.00
	Please proceed to question No. 10.
10.	If you awarded damages in response to question No. 9 on the claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress against Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc., do you find that the plaintiff has carried her burden of proof by clear and convincing proof that she is entitled to punitive damages?
	Yes <u>X</u> No

The bottom section of the Jury Verdict form (questions No. 11 - 25 were not answered by the jury because they were moot based on the determination of the jury on Question No. 1. The bottom section of the Jury Verdict Form is therefore not restated here in this Judgment Order.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES PHASE:

After the initial jury verdict phase, the Court proceeded to the Punitive Damages phase for a determination of the amount of damages for the Punitive Damages. The Jury

found and announced that the total amount of Punitive Damages they found against Knoxville Harley Davidson, Inc. was \$4,000,000.00.

TOTAL JURY VERDICT:

The total Jury Verdict, as determined by the Jury before statutory reductions, was \$4,721,132.00.

JURY VERDICT STATUTORY ADJUSTMENTS:

The Plaintiff agrees that the award under the THRA (pursuant to T.C.A. § 4-21-313) for sex discrimination in the form of quid pro quo sexual harassment and/or sex discrimination in the form of a sexually hostile work environment (Question No. 7 of the Jury Verdict Form) is subject to a statutory cap for compensatory damages of \$50,000.00 due to the size of the employer. Each of the Defendants has more than 14 and fewer than 101 employees. Therefore, the specific award for Compensatory Damages under Question No. 7 under the THRA is reduced to \$50,000.00, by statute.

The Plaintiff agrees that the punitive damages award that was provided by the jury totaling \$4,000,000.00 against Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc. is required to be reduced to \$1,200,000.00 under the statutory limitation found in T.C.A. § 29-39-104. Specifically, this limitation in T.C.A. § 29-39-104 provides that punitive damages are limited to "two (2) times the total amount of compensatory damages awarded."

THEREFORE, the verdict of the Jury is the Judgment of this Court.

It is therefore **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED** and **DECREED** that Plaintiff Sarah L. Hindenburg shall have and recover a judgment against Defendants Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc. and Knoxville Harley-Davidson West, LLC, in the following amounts:

- 1) \$50,000.00 for Tennessee Human Rights Act compensatory damages for sexual harassment (reduced by statute), for which execution may lie; and
- \$23,620.13 [21,132.00 for Back Pay under the Tennessee Human Rights

 Act plus pre-judgment interest at a rate of 5.25% totaling \$2,488.13 through
 the date of the jury verdict on February 18, 2022], for which execution may
 lie.
- 3) Attorney Fee award totaling \$172,945.00 to Burrow Lee, PLLC under the Tennessee Human Rights Act for fees submitted to the Court through April 6, 2022.
- 4) Litigation costs and expenses totaling \$4,691.26 to Burrow Lee, PLLC under the Tennessee Human Rights Act for costs and expenses submitted to the Court through April 6, 2022 (excluding discretionary expenses which will be dealt with by separate order).

In addition, it is therefore **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED** and **DECREED** that Plaintiff Sarah L. Hindenburg shall have and recover an additional judgment against Defendant Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc., in the following amounts:

- 1) \$600,000.00 [600,000.00 for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, for which execution may lie]; and
- 2) \$1,200,000.00 for punitive damages (reduced by statute), for which execution may lie.

All court costs shall be paid for by the Defendants Knoxville Harley-Davidson, Inc. and Knoxville Harley-Davidson West, LLC

Post Judgment interest pursuant to T.C.A. 47-14-122 accrues at an annual compounding rate of 5.25% starting on February 18, 2022, for all of the judgment amounts listed above.

entered this 27 th day of July 2022, none protone as of July 22, 2022. July Dawer CHANCELLOR JOHN F. WEAVER

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

JASON A. LEE

Registration No: 22890 Attorney for Plaintiff

BURROW LEE, PLLC

611 Commerce Street, Suite 2603 Nashville, TN 37203 (615) 540-1005 Direct: (615) 540-1004 jlee@burrowlee.com IT IS FURTHER

ORDERED upon the Court's own initiative under Tenn. R.

Civ. P. 60.01 to correct
a elevical error arising from oversight in entering the wrong version of the final judgment in this case, judgment in this case, or order the FINAL JUDGMENT ORDER - ENTRY of JUDGMENT order of Judgment and replaced with this FINAL JUDGMENT order or order or Judgment entered this 27th day of Judgment

المحتادة ال

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of April 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served VIA Email under Tennessee Rule of Civil Procedure 5.02 as PDF with facsimile confirmation under Rule 5.02, addressed to:

Melissa B. Carrasco Penny Arning Egerton, McAfee, Armistead & Davis, P.C. 900 S. Gay St., 14th Floor Knoxville, TN 37902

JASON A. LEE

JAL